

CCC:MEM  
F.# 2018R02127

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

**FILED**  
IN CLERK'S OFFICE  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

★ **MAY 21 2019** ★

**LONG ISLAND OFFICE**

----- X

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION  
FOR SEARCH WARRANTS FOR:

AFFIDAVIT IN  
SUPPORT OF  
SEARCH WARRANTS

THE PREMISES KNOWN AND DESCRIBED  
AS:

(Fed. R. Crim. P. 41)

A WHITE AND ROSE GOLD I-PHONE  
("SUBJECT TELEPHONE #1");

A BLACK AND WHITE I-PHONE ("SUBJECT  
TELEPHONE #2");

A BLACK I-PHONE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE  
#3");

**MJ - 19 477**

A GOLD I-PHONE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE  
#4");

A BLUE I-PHONE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE  
#5");  
AND

A BLACK SAMSUNG ZTE ("SUBJECT  
TELEPHONE #6").

----- X

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, SS:

ROBERT STUEBER, being duly sworn, deposes and states that he is a Task  
Force Officer ("TFO") assigned to the Drug Enforcement Administration ("DEA"), duly  
appointed according to law and acting as such. Upon information and belief, there is

probable cause to believe that there is located in the PREMISES KNOWN AND DESCRIBED AS: A WHITE AND ROSE GOLD I-PHONE (“SUBJECT TELEPHONE #1”); A BLACK AND WHITE I-PHONE (“SUBJECT TELEPHONE #2”); A BLACK I-PHONE (“SUBJECT TELEPHONE #3”); A GOLD I-PHONE (“SUBJECT TELEPHONE #4”); A BLUE I-PHONE (“SUBJECT TELEPHONE #5”); AND A BLACK SAMSUNG ZTE (“SUBJECT TELEPHONE #6”) (collectively, the “SUBJECT TELEPHONES”), further described in Attachments A, B, C, D, E, and F, the things described in Attachment G, which constitute evidence, fruits and instrumentalities of a conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute cocaine base, cocaine, heroin, N-phenyl-N-[2-phenylethyl]-4piperidinyl] propanamide (“fentanyl”), acetyl fentanyl and use of firearms in connection with a drug trafficking crime, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(b)(1)(A)(i), 841(b)(1)(A)(ii)(II), 841(b)(1)(A)(iii), 841(b)(1)(A)(vi) and 841(b)(1)(B)(vi) and 846, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(c)(1)(A)(i), respectively. Additionally, the current investigation into the ongoing violence perpetrated by members of the Bloods in and around Suffolk County, New York has uncovered evidence, in the form of cooperating witnesses, amongst other evidence, which supports charging members of the Bloods with racketeering crimes, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1959 and 1962. To prove the racketeering crimes, the government is required to establish that the Bloods is an “enterprise” as defined by Title 18, United States Code, Section 1959(b)(2), that is, a group of individuals associated in fact that engaged in, and the activities of which affected, interstate and foreign commerce. In addition to proving the existence of the Bloods enterprise (the “enterprise”), the government is also required to prove

each defendant's membership in the respective enterprise in which they are a member.

Accordingly, evidence that proves either of these elements is germane.

The source of your deponent's information and the grounds for his belief are as follows:<sup>1</sup>

1. I am a TFO assigned to work with the DEA, and have been for more than six years. I have also been a detective with the Suffolk County Police Department ("SCPD") for approximately 17 years. During my tenure with the DEA and SCPD, I have investigated various federal criminal violations including narcotics trafficking, use of firearms in connection with narcotics trafficking and gang-related crimes. During the course of those investigations, I have conducted physical surveillance, monitored undercover operations, debriefed cooperating witnesses and confidential informants, monitored wiretaps, conducted controlled narcotics purchase and interviewed civilian witnesses. The information set forth below is based upon my experience and training as a TFO, my review of documents and other evidentiary items, debriefing of cooperating witnesses, and my discussions with other law enforcement agents. Unless specifically indicated, all conversations and statements described in this affidavit are related in substance and in part only. Based on my experience, and in light of the information I have learned during this investigation, I believe there is probable cause that the SUBJECT TELEPHONES were used to communicate with others concerning a narcotics conspiracy, illegal possession of firearms and racketeering crimes, and to receive and store electronic information relating to the names, nicknames, telephone

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this affidavit is submitted for the limited purpose of establishing probable cause for a search warrant, I have not set forth each and every fact learned during the course of the investigation.

numbers and pager numbers of criminal accomplices. It has been my experience that narcotics traffickers and gang members often communicate by telephone, pager and other electronic devices with their co-conspirators to plan and execute their criminal schemes.

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVESTIGATION AND PROBABLE CAUSE

2. The DEA and the SCPD have been conducting an investigation into narcotics trafficking and violent crimes committed by the Bloods street gang in Suffolk County, New York. During the course of its investigation of this matter, DEA and the SCPD received information that RASHAAN CORBIN, also known as “Ra” and “Ra Money,” and ALEX MURPHY, also known as “Buddha” and “Tall Guy,” are members of the Bloods street gang and are distributing controlled substances.

3. During the course of the investigation I reviewed dozens of interviews of people arrested by the SCPD (the “Intelligence Debriefs.”) The Intelligence Debriefs contain numerous reports stating that CORBIN is a member of the Bloods street gang. Likewise, there are dozens of Intelligence Debriefs also stating that MURPHY is a member of the Bloods street gang. Additionally, the Intelligence reports identify MURPHY as a “high ranking” member. I have reviewed various social media accounts used by both CORBIN and MURPHY (the “Social Media Accounts.”) The Social Media Accounts show pictures of both CORBIN and MURPHY associating with known members of the Bloods street gang. Additionally, CORBIN’s and MURPHY’s Social Media Accounts contain postings that include signs and symbols that are known to be Bloods street gang signs and symbols. Finally, CORBIN can be seen on the Social Media Accounts displaying what I know to be Bloods street gang hand signs.

4. In or about and between December 2018 and March 2019, on at least 10 separate occasions, an undercover police officer (“UC”) and/or confidential informants purchased narcotics from CORBIN. In total, law enforcement purchased more than 28 grams of cocaine base from CORBIN. On each occasion, law enforcement contacted CORBIN by dialing (631) 525-8247, (631) 479-8205 and (631) 782-9991. One of these transactions is discussed below.

5. On December 18, 2018, a confidential informant (“CI #1”),<sup>2</sup> under the supervision of law enforcement officers, arranged to meet CORBIN at a pre-determined location in Bay Shore, New York to purchase cocaine base. Upon arrival at the location, CI #1 parked his/her vehicle. Approximately 20 minutes later, CI #1 observed CORBIN arrive at the location driving a vehicle. CI #1 exited his/her vehicle and approached CORBIN. CI #1 handed CORBIN \$200 in exchange for a clear bag containing a quantity of a hard rock-like substance which CI #1 believed to be cocaine base. CI #1 then returned to his/her vehicle and left the location. The substance was subsequently laboratory tested and was confirmed to contain cocaine in base form. This transaction was recorded with both audio and video recording equipment.

---

<sup>2</sup> CI #1 has been purchasing narcotics from MURPHY and his associates for approximately one year. The information provided by CI #1 has proven reliable and has been corroborated by other sources of information, including review of law enforcement databases to identify individuals described by CI #1, information provided by other confidential informants, including CI #2, discussed below, as well as physical surveillance of locations described by CI #1. In exchange for information provided to law enforcement CI #1 is receiving consideration for pending misdemeanor charges by the Suffolk County District Attorney’s Office.

6. Additionally, in or about and between October 2018 and April 2019, on at least 10 separate occasions, an undercover police officer ("UC") and/or confidential informants purchased narcotics from MURPHY. In total, law enforcement purchased more than 28 grams of cocaine base and less than one gram of heroin from MURPHY. On each occasion law enforcement contacted MURPHY by dialing (631) 525-8247, (631) 479-8205 and (631) 782-9991. One of these transactions is discussed below.

7. On January 9, 2019, a confidential informant ("CI #2")<sup>3</sup> under the supervision of law enforcement officers, arranged to meet MURPHY at a pre-determined location in Bay Shore, New York to purchase cocaine base. Upon arrival at the location, CI #2 observed MURPHY seated in the front passenger seat of a dark colored Porsche Panamera (the "Porsche"). CI #2 observed an unknown black male seated in the driver's seat of the Porsche. Law enforcement officers subsequently identified the driver as CORBIN. CI #2 approached the front passenger side of the Porsche and handed MURPHY \$200 in exchange for a clear bag containing a quantity of a hard, rock-like substance which CI #2 believed to be cocaine base. CI #2 then left the location. The substance was subsequently laboratory tested and was confirmed to contain cocaine in base form. This transaction was recorded with both audio and video recording equipment.

---

<sup>3</sup> CI #2 has been purchasing narcotics from MURPHY and his associates for approximately six month. The information provided by CI #2 has proven reliable and has been corroborated by other sources of information, including review of law enforcement databases to identify individuals describes by CI #1, information provided by CI #1, as well as physical surveillance of locations described by CI #2. In exchange for information provided to law enforcement CI #2 is receiving consideration for pending misdemeanor charges by the Suffolk County District Attorney's Office.

8. Based in part on the aforementioned controlled buys, information provided by witnesses and other evidence obtained during the investigation, on or about March 7, 2018, a grand jury sitting in the Eastern District of New York returned an indictment charging CORBIN and MURPHY with conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute 280 grams or more of a substance containing cocaine base, five kilograms or more of a substance containing cocaine, one kilogram or more of a substance containing heroin, 40 grams or more of a substance containing fentanyl and 100 grams or more of a substance containing fentanyl and using a firearms in connection with those drug trafficking crimes, as well as various other charges. See United States v. Rashaan Corbin, et al., 19-CR-124 (DRH). That same day, the Honorable Steven I. Locke, United States Magistrate Judge, signed warrants authorizing the arrest of CORBIN and MURPHY.

9. On March 12, 2019, MURPHY was arrested by law enforcement inside of a vehicle, on a highway located in Mirimar, Florida. At the time of his arrest MURPHY had SUBJECT TELEPHONE #1, SUBJECT TELEPHONE #2 and SUBJECT TELEPHONE #3 on his person.

10. On April 17, 2019, at 6 p.m., CORBIN was observed by law enforcement officers exiting 1169 Suffolk Avenue, Apartment #5, Brentwood, New York 11717 (the "Brentwood Home") and entering a Silver Nissan Altima, bearing New York license plate JGS1539 (the "Altima"). At approximately 9:50 p.m., law enforcement officers observed CORBIN return to the Brentwood Home driving the Altima. Officers further observed CORBIN park the Altima in the driveway of the Brentwood Home, and then

immediately enter the Brentwood Home. Shortly thereafter CORBIN was arrested inside the Brentwood Home. At the time of his arrest CORBIN had SUBJECT TELEPHONE #4 on his person. At approximately 11:20 p.m., a female witness ("FW"), who resided at the Brentwood Home, was interviewed regarding, among other things, the Altima. The FW stated that the Altima was given to her by CORBIN and that CORBIN had used the car earlier in the evening. The FW stated, in sum and substance, that the Altima did not have anything in it, including narcotics or weapons, and consented to a search of the Altima. Inside the center console of the Altima, law enforcement recovered: a clear plastic bag containing marijuana; a clear plastic bag containing approximately 15 grams of heroin; a loaded, stolen .38 caliber revolver, serial number 10-01394; SUBJECT TELEPHONE #5; and SUBJECT TELEPHONE #6.

11. Based on my training, experience and discussions with other law enforcement officers, I understand that individuals involved in conspiracies to distribute and possess with intent to distribute narcotics and who use firearms in connection with drug trafficking crimes, often do not act alone and often communicate with co-conspirators by means of cellular telephones such as the SUBJECT TELEPHONES. Such persons commonly maintain records that reflect names, addresses, or telephone numbers of their associates in their cellular telephones. They also commonly maintain records of communications such as call logs, chats and text messages in their cellular telephones. They commonly take photographs of themselves, their associates, or their property using their cellular telephones. These individuals usually maintain these records of communication and photographs in their possession and in their cellular telephones.



12. Furthermore, based upon my training and experience, members of street gangs, including the Bloods, often communicate with one another via cellular telephone and discuss gang business and criminal activity. In addition to using cellular telephones to communicate with other gang members and discuss criminal activity, gang members also use their cellular telephones to take pictures of themselves wearing gang paraphernalia and flashing gang signals, which constitutes the existence of an individual's membership in the gang.

#### TECHNICAL TERMS

13. As used herein, the following terms have the following meanings:

14. Wireless telephone (or mobile or cellular telephone): A handheld wireless device used for voice and data communication through radio signals. These telephones send signals through networks of transmitter/receivers, enabling communication with other wireless telephones or traditional "land line" telephones. A wireless telephone usually contains a "call log," which records the telephone number, date, and time of calls made to and from the phone. In addition to enabling voice communications, wireless telephones offer a broad range of capabilities. These capabilities include: storing names and phone numbers in electronic "address books;" sending, receiving and storing text messages and email; taking, sending, receiving and storing still photographs and video; storing and playing back audio files; storing dates, appointments, and other information on personal calendars; and accessing and downloading information from the Internet. Wireless telephones may also include global positioning system ("GPS") technology for determining the location of the device, and a wide variety of applications, also known as "apps," which

may store the user's preferences and other data. Such apps may include Facebook, Twitter, and other social media services.

15. Based on my research, I understand that the SUBJECT TELEPHONES provide not only phone and text message services, but can also be used to send and receive emails; access the Internet; track GPS data; take, store and share photographs and videos; and use a wide variety of apps. In my training and experience, examining data stored on devices of this type can uncover, among other things, evidence that reveals or suggests who possessed or used the SUBJECT TELEPHONES.

#### TECHNICAL BACKGROUND

16. As further described in Attachment G, this application seeks permission to locate not only data that might serve as direct evidence of the crimes described on the warrants, but also for forensic electronic evidence that establishes how the SUBJECT TELEPHONES were used, the purpose of their use, who used them, and when. There is probable cause to believe that this forensic electronic evidence can be recovered from the SUBJECT TELEPHONES because:

a. Data on an electronic device can provide evidence of a file that was once on the device but has since been deleted or edited, or of a deleted portion of a file (such as a paragraph that has been deleted from a word processing file). Virtual memory paging systems can leave traces of information on the device that show what tasks and processes were recently active. Web browsers, email programs, and instant messaging/"chat" programs store configuration information on the device that can reveal information such as online nicknames and passwords. Operating systems can record additional information, such

as the attachment of peripherals, the attachment of USB flash storage devices or other external storage media, and the times the device was in use. Electronic devices can record information about the dates files were created and the sequence in which they were created.

b. Forensic evidence on an electronic device can also indicate who has used or controlled the device. This “user attribution” evidence is analogous to the search for “indicia of occupancy” while executing a search warrant at a residence. For example, registry information, configuration files, user profiles, email, email address books, instant messaging or chat logs, photographs, the presence or absence of malware, and correspondence (and the data associated with the foregoing, such as file creation and last-accessed dates) may be evidence of who used or controlled the electronic device at a relevant time.

c. A person with appropriate familiarity with how an electronic device works can, after examining this forensic evidence in its proper context, draw conclusions about how devices were used, the purpose of their use, who used them, and when.

d. The process of identifying the exact files, blocks, registry entries, logs, or other forms of forensic evidence on an electronic device that are necessary to draw an accurate conclusion is a dynamic process. While it is possible to specify in advance the records to be sought, such evidence is not always data that can be merely reviewed by a review team and passed along to investigators. Whether data stored on an electronic device is evidence may depend on other information stored on the device and the application of

knowledge about how the device behaves. Therefore, contextual information necessary to understand other evidence also falls within the scope of the warrants.

e. Further, in finding user attribution evidence, sometimes it is necessary to establish that a particular thing is not present on an electronic device. For example, the presence or absence of counter-forensic programs or anti-virus programs (and associated data) may be relevant to establishing the user's intent.

17. Based on the foregoing, and consistent with Rule 41(e)(2)(B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the warrants I am applying for would permit the examination of the SUBJECT TELEPHONES consistent with the warrants. The examination may require authorities to employ techniques, including but not limited to computer-assisted scans of the entire medium, that might expose many parts of the device to human inspection in order to determine whether it is evidence described by the warrants.

18. The DEA seized SUBJECT TELEPHONE #1, SUBJECT TELEPHONE #2 and SUBJECT TELEPHONE #3 on or about March 12, 2019. The DEA and/or SCPD seized SUBJECT TELEPHONE #4, SUBJECT TELEPHONE #5 and SUBJECT TELEPHONE #6 on April 17, 2019. Since their seizure, the SUBJECT TELEPHONES have exclusively been in the custody of the DEA and/or SCPD. Additionally, all of the SUBJECT TELEPHONES are presently in the custody of the DEA in the Eastern District of New York. Because this application seeks only permission to examine the SUBJECT TELEPHONES, which are already in law enforcement's possession, the execution of the warrants does not involve intrusion into a physical location. Consequently, I

submit there is reasonable cause for the Court to authorize execution of the warrants at any time in the day or night.

### CONCLUSION

19. Based on the foregoing, there is probable cause to believe that there is located in the SUBJECT TELEPHONES, further described in Attachments A, B, C, D, E and F, the things described in Attachment G, which constitute evidence, fruits and instrumentalities of a conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute cocaine base, cocaine, heroin, N-phenyl-N-[2-phenylethyl]-4piperidiny] propanamide ("fentanyl"), acetyl fentanyl and use of firearms in connection with a drug trafficking crime, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(b)(1)(A)(i), 841(b)(1)(A)(ii)(II), 841(b)(1)(A)(iii), 841(b)(1)(A)(vi) and 841(b)(1)(B)(vi) and 846, and Title 18, United States Code, Sections 924(c)(1)(A)(i), 1959 and 1962. Accordingly, the Court should issue the requested warrants.

20. WHEREFORE, your deponent respectfully requests that search warrants be issued for the PREMISES KNOWN AND DESCRIBED AS: A WHITE AND ROSE GOLD I-PHONE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE #1"); A BLACK AND WHITE I-PHONE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE #2"); A BLACK I-PHONE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE

#3"); A GOLD I-PHONE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE #4"); A BLUE I-PHONE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE #5"); AND A BLACK SAMSUNG ZTE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE #6").

Dated: Central Islip, New York  
May 31, 2019



ROBERT STUEBER  
Task Force Officer  
DEA

Sworn to before me this  
31 day of May, 2019

/S/ STEVEN I. LOCKE

THE HONORABLE STEVEN I. LOCKE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

## ATTACHMENT A

### Property To Be Searched

The property to be searched is a WHITE AND ROSE GOLD I-PHONE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE #1"); seized on or about March 12, 2019, from the person of ALEX MURPHY, who, at the time of the seizure, was seated inside of a vehicle which was stopped on a highway in Mirimar, Florida and are presently in the custody of the Drug Enforcement Administration in the Eastern District of New York. The warrant authorizes the forensic examination of SUBJECT TELEPHONE #1 for the purpose of identifying the electronically stored information described in Attachment G.

## ATTACHMENT B

### Property To Be Searched

The property to be searched is a BLACK AND WHITE I-PHONE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE #2"); seized on or about March 12, 2019, from the person of ALEX MURPHY, who, at the time of the seizure, was seated inside of a vehicle which was stopped on a highway in Mirimar, Florida and are presently in the custody of the Drug Enforcement Administration in the Eastern District of New York. The warrant authorizes the forensic examination of SUBJECT TELEPHONE #2 for the purpose of identifying the electronically stored information described in Attachment G.



## ATTACHMENT C

### Property To Be Searched

The property to be searched is a BLACK I-PHONE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE #3"); seized on or about March 12, 2019, from the person of ALEX MURPHY, who, at the time of the seizure, was seated inside of a vehicle which was stopped on a highway in Mirimar, Florida and are presently in the custody of the Drug Enforcement Administration in the Eastern District of New York. The warrant authorizes the forensic examination of SUBJECT TELEPHONE #3 for the purpose of identifying the electronically stored information described in Attachment G.

## ATTACHMENT D

### Property To Be Searched

The property to be searched is a GOLD I-PHONE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE #4"); seized on or about April 17, 2019, from the person of RASHAAN CORBIN, who, at the time of the seizure, was inside of 1169 Suffolk Avenue, Apartment #5, Brentwood, New York 11717 and are presently in the custody of the Drug Enforcement Administration in the Eastern District of New York. The warrant authorizes the forensic examination of SUBJECT TELEPHONE #4 for the purpose of identifying the electronically stored information described in Attachment G.

## ATTACHMENT E

### Property To Be Searched

The property to be searched is a BLUE I-PHONE ("SUBJECT TELEPHONE #5"); seized on or about April 17, 2019, from the center console of a Nissan Altima parked in the driveway of 1169 Suffolk Avenue, Apartment #5, Brentwood, New York 11717 and are presently in the custody of the Drug Enforcement Administration in the Eastern District of New York. The warrant authorizes the forensic examination of SUBJECT TELEPHONE #5 for the purpose of identifying the electronically stored information described in Attachment G.

## ATTACHMENT F

### Property To Be Searched

The property to be searched is a BLACK SAMSUNG ZTE (“SUBJECT TELEPHONE #6”); seized on or about April 17, 2019, from the center console of a Nissan Altima parked in the driveway of 1169 Suffolk Avenue, Apartment #5, Brentwood, New York 11717 and are presently in the custody of the Drug Enforcement Administration in the Eastern District of New York. The warrant authorizes the forensic examination of SUBJECT TELEPHONE #6 for the purpose of identifying the electronically stored information described in Attachment G.

## ATTACHMENT G

### Particular Things To Be Seized

All information obtained from SUBJECT TELEPHONE #1, SUBJECT TELEPHONE #2, SUBJECT TELEPHONE #3, SUBJECT TELEPHONE #4, SUBJECT TELEPHONE #5 and SUBJECT TELEPHONE #6 (collectively, the “SUBJECT TELEPHONES”) will be maintained by the government for the purpose of authentication and any potential discovery obligations in any related prosecution. The information shall be reviewed by the government only for the purpose of identifying and seizing all information described below that constitutes evidence, fruits, or instrumentalities of a conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute cocaine base, cocaine, heroin, N-phenyl-N-[2-phenylethyl]-4piperidinyl] propanamide (“fentanyl”), acetyl fentanyl and use of firearms in connection with a drug trafficking crime, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(b)(1)(A)(i), 841(b)(1)(A)(ii)(II), 841(b)(1)(A)(iii), 841(b)(1)(A)(vi) and 841(b)(1)(B)(vi) and 846, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(c)(1)(A)(i), 1959 and 1962 respectively, including:

1. All records and information on the SUBJECT TELEPHONES described in Attachments A, B, C, D, E and F, including (a) names and telephone numbers, as well as the contents of all call logs, contact lists, and (b) for the time period March 2013 through March 2019, text messages, emails (including those sent, received, deleted and drafted), instant messages, photographs, videos, social media account activity (including postings and messages), Internet activity (including browser history, web page logs, and

search terms entered by the user), and other electronic media constituting evidence, fruits, or instrumentalities of the violations described above.

2. Evidence of user attribution showing who used or owned the SUBJECT TELEPHONES at the time the things described in this warrants were created, edited, or deleted, such as, for example, logs, phonebooks, saved usernames and passwords, documents, and browsing history;

3. Evidence of software that would allow others to control the SUBJECT TELEPHONES, such as viruses, Trojan horses, and other forms of malicious software, as well as evidence of the presence or absence of security software designed to detect malicious software;

4. Evidence of the lack of such malicious software;

5. Evidence of the attachments to the SUBJECT TELEPHONES of other storage devices or similar containers for electronic evidence;

6. Evidence of counter-forensic programs (and associated data) that are designed to eliminate data from the SUBJECT TELEPHONES;

7. Evidence of the times the SUBJECT TELEPHONES were used;

8. Passwords, encryption keys, and other access devices that may be necessary to access the SUBJECT TELEPHONES; and

9. Contextual information necessary to understand the evidence described in this attachment, all of which constitute evidence, fruits and instrumentalities of the violations described above.

As used above, the terms “records” and “information” include all of the foregoing items of evidence in whatever form and by whatever means they may have been created or stored, including any form of computer or electronic storage (such as flash memory or other media that can store data) and any photographic form.